المساوات الم

NO. 2388 P. 29

The Juneau or Biological Chipogray 9 1995 by The American Society for Blochemistry and Molecular Biology, Inc.

Vol. 270. No. 44, Issue of November 3, pp. 25232-26233, 1995 Printed in U.S.A. :

A Biochemical Characterization of the Binding of Osteopontin to Integrins $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ *

(Received for publication, May 15, 1995, and in revised form, August 14, 1895)

Dana D. Huts, Emme C. K. Lints, Nicholas L. Kovachi, John R. Hoyer**#, and Jeffrey W. Smitht From the ‡Cancer Research Center, Program on Cell Adhesion and the Extracellular Matrix, La Julia Cancer Research

Foundation, La Jalla, California 92037, the Wintersity of Washington, School of Medicine, Division of Hamatology, Seattle, Washington 98195, and the "Children's Haspital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

Osteopontin (OPN) is an extracellular matrix protein that binds to integrin α, β_2 . Here we demonstrate that two other integrins, $\alpha_s \beta_1$ and $\alpha_s \beta_5$, are also receptors for OPN. Human embryonic kidney 293 cells adhere to human recombinant osteopontin (glutathione Stransferase-osteopontin; GST-OPN) using integrin a, \$1. When the 293 cells are transfected with the β_c subunit, they can also adhere to GST-OPN using integrin $\alpha_c\beta_5$. Divalent cations regulate the binding of GST-OPN to both α, β_1 and α, β_3 . Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} support the binding of GST-OPN to these integrins but Ca^{2+} does not. The highest affinity is observed in Mn2+. In the presence of this ion, the affinity of GST-OPN for α, β_1 is 18 nm and the affinity for $\alpha_s \beta_s$ is 48 nm. The antibody 8A2, which is an agonist for β_1 , promotes the adhesion of 293 cells to GST-OPN even when Ca^{2+} is present. This observation suggests that cellular events could modulate the affinity of $\alpha_v \beta_1$ for OPN. Collectively, these findings prove that integrins $\alpha, \beta_1, \alpha, \beta_3$, and α, β_5 have similar affinity for OFN. Therefore, all three integrins must be considered when evaluating the biological affects of OPN.

Osteopontin (OPN)1 is a secreted phosphoprotein that was originally isolated from bone (1). OPN is also found in many other fluids and tissues including milk, urine, placenta, kidney, leukocytes, smooth muscle cells, and some tumor cells (for reviews, see Refs. 1 and 2). OPN supports cell adhesion through its Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) integrin recognition motif. OPN is also rich in aspartic acid residues, and can be heavily glycosylated. The acidic nature of OPN probably accounts for its ability to modulate the growth of calcium crystals in both bone (1, 2) and urine (3).

Integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ is the established receptor for OPN. In hone, α, β_3 is expressed on osteoclasts and it initiates bone resorption by mediating adhesion of the esteoclast to OPN in bone (4-6). It has also been hypothesized that OPN and integrin and

facilitate vascular remodeling because these two proteins are co-localized in smooth muscle cells following balloon angioplasty (7). Both OPN and integrin $a_{\omega}\beta_{3}$ are also present in human placenta (8, 9), so their interaction could also be relevant to pregnancy.

Although a, \beta_2 is clearly a receptor for OPN, many other integrins also bind the RGD motif (10, 11) and no data have excluded other integrins as receptors for OPN. Therefore, we hypothesized that other integrins with the a, subunit may also bind OPN. The purpose of this study was to provide a quantitative biochemical analysis of the binding between OPN and integrins $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$. We reason that a measure of these binding affinities will allow a meaningful comparison with the binding affinity of OPN to a, \$\beta_3\$ (12). If more than one integrin does bind OPN with similar affinity, then much information attributing adhesion and signaling events entirely to the interaction between OPN and a B, should be re-evaluated.

MATÉRIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines—Human embryonic kidney cardinoma 293 cells were ob-taited from ATCC and maintained in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's modium (Bio Whittaker) supplemented with 10% fetal call surum (Irvite form the windser) supplies that the state of the state o polymerase chain reaction and subclosed into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3 (invitrogen). Kidney 233 calls were transforted at passage 40 with $\beta_a/\text{pcDNA3}$ or pcDNA3 vector alone using λ -[1-(2,3dieleoyloxy)propil-N.N.N-trimethylammonium methylsulfate transfection reagent (Boehringer Mannheim). Stable transfectants were obtained after selection in 500 µg/ml C418 (Sigmas) for 2 weeks and maintained thereafter in 250 µg/ml C418. Cells expressing high levels of a \$45 were obtained by sterile FACS with an anti-\$6 monoclonal antibody (mAh), P3G2.

Protein Expression and Purification—In this study a recombinant form of OPN fused the glutathione S-transferase (CST-OPN) was used as ligand. We have previously described the characterization of this ligand (12). GST-OPN supports cell adhesion in a manner equivalent to native uropontin, a form of OPN purified from human urine (12). We have also found that both versions of OPN function equally in supporting cell adhesion through integrin and and and the data not shown). CST-OPN was chosen in the interest of consistency in performing cell binding studies and because of its availability. Integrin a B was purifled from a human placental extract using monoclonal antibody affinity chromatography as described previously (13). The identity and the purity of this protein was assessed by N-terminal amino acid sequencing and by its ability to bind a series of monoclonal antibodies specific for either a, B, or a, B.

Vitronectin was purified from human plasma by affinity chromatography on heparin-Sepharose as described (14).

Antibodies-The monoclonal untibody BA2 and its Fab fragment bind to the integrin β_1 subunit and stimulate the ligand binding function of integrins containing this subunit. An in-depth characterization of this antibody has been published [15, 16]. Monacional antibody L230 (anti-a.) was purified from cell culture supernatant from hybridoms cells (ATCC. HB8448) by using protein A-Sepharose. The blocking activity of this ancibody has been reported previously (17). Monoclonal antibody P4C10 (anti-β_i) was purchased from Life Technologies, Inc. and was used in ascites form, normally at a dilution of 1:500. Anti-8, monoclonal

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grants CA 56483 and AR 42750 (to J. W. S.), DK 10964 (to N. L. K.), and DK 93501 (to J. R. H.). The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

[§] Supported initially by a postdoctoral grant from Monsanto/Searle and then by a postdoctoral fellowship from the California Affiliate of the American Heart Association.

¹ Supported by a postdoctoral grant from the Cancer Research


[#] Established investigator of the American Heart Association and

The abbreviations used are: OPN, osteopontin; CST-OPN, recombinant esteopentin that is a fusion protein with glucathione Scransfer-ase; RGD, Arg-Gly-Asp; mAb, maneclenal antibody; FACS, fluorescence activated cell sarting.

W 0 0 0 0

Osteopontin Binds to Integrins a B, and a Bs





Relative Fluorescence Intensity

Fig. 1. FACS analysis of integrin expression on kidney 293 cells. A panel of monoclonal antibodies was used to assess integrin expression on wild-type and β, transfected human kidney 293 cells. Cells were incubated with mouse IgG or with the noted primary antibodies and then with secondary fluorescent isothlocyanate conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG. Fallowing extensive washing to remove free antibody the cells were analyzed by flow cymmetry. The expression level of each integrin subunit is indicated by the mean fluorescence intensity. The integrin expression profile of wild-type 293 cells was payized with mide LMS09 against α,β, (Λ), 2902 against α,β, (β), 14H4 against α, (Λ), and mide 1977 against β, (Λ). Following transfection of these cells with the CDNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the expression of the α,β, heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was detected with mide DNA for β, the form of the α,β heterodimer was

1977 was purchased from Chemicon Int. Inc.. Monoclonal antibody 6B9 (anti- α, β_c) was produced in this laboratory (18). The polyclonal antibody T545 was raised in this laboratory by immunizing rabbits with highly purified integrin $\alpha_s \beta_2$. Prior characterization shows that TS45 binds and immunoprecipitates any integrin containing the a_subunit (data not shown). Nonspecific mouse IgG was obtained from Caliboten. Monoclonal antilodies LM609 (anti-a_B_) and P3G2 (anti-a_B_) were generously provided by Dr. David Cherkh (The Scrippe Research Institute).

Synthetic Peptides—The synthetic peptides with sequence GRGDSP and SPCDRG were purchased from Coast Scientific (La Jolla, CA).

and Statistic were purchased until coast occurring to a sone, cry.

Fluorescence-activated Call Sorting (FACS) — FACS analysis was performed using standard protocols, Briefly, cells were harvested in phosphate-buffered saline/EDTA, washed once with Dulbecco's medified Eagle's medium, and resuspended in the same media, Cells were incubagie a menutin, and resuspence in the same media, Cells were incu-bated with primary antibody for 30 min on tice and then washed rulen. Cells were then incubated with fluorescein isothlocyanate-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Caltag) for 30 min on ice. Cells were washed twice with media and resuspended in phosphate-buffered salling for FACS analysis. FACS analysis was performed on a Bertim Dickinson FACSsort.

Cell Adhesion Assays—Cell adhesion was measured as described previously (19). GST-OPN or vitronectin were coated onto 98-well micrediter plates (Titertek) and incubated overnight, at 4 °C. Our measurements using 1261-CST-OPN as a tracer indicate that 13-19% of the GST-OPN actually binds the plate when the coating concentration is between 1 and 100 nm. Thus, the amount of figured available for cell adhesion is considerably less than the coating concentration. There was little variability in coeting efficiency so comperisons of cell adhesion as a function of coeting concentration are valid. Following exposure to GST-OPN, the plates were then blocked by 30 mg/ml bovins serum albumin in TBS (pH 7.4) for 1 h at 37 °C. Cells were harvested from tissue culture flasks with phosphate-buffered saline/EDTA, washed. and resuspended in adhesion buffer containing 1 × Hanks' balanced sult solution lacking divalent cutions, 50 mm Hepes (oH 7.4), 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin and 0.5 mts Mn2+, 2 mm Ca2+, or 2 mm Mg2+ most experiments 100 µl of cells (1.5 × 10° cells/ml) were added to each well. Where required, appropriate concentration of agonists (a.g. activating mAb 8A2, typically at 1 µg/ml) or antagonists (e.g. EDTA at 20 mm or blocking antibodies, 1:500 for asoltes and 5-20 µg/ml for purified mAbs) were mixed with the cells before they were added to the wells. Various batches of control ascitos gave no inhibition at a 1:500 dilution, After a 45-min incubation at 37 °C, the non-adherent cells were washed off with TBS by gentle aspiration. Adherent cells were detected by a colorimetric assay measuring andogenous cellular lysosomal acid phosphatase activity with a chromophore that absorbs at 405 nm (20). A standard curve with cells in suspension showed that absorbance values were directly proportional to cell number. All experiments were performed at least three times yielding identical results.

Radioligand Binding Measurements—To assess the affinity of GST-OPN for integrins on the 293 cells, binding isotherms of the interaction OPY for integrate on the age was writing a between ¹²⁶I-labeled GST-OPN and 293 calls were generated. GST-OPN was radiolabeled with Na¹²⁵I using IODO-CEN (Pierce Chemical Co.). The specific activity was between 2 and 7×10^{-4} cpm/ng of protein. For binding assays, cells were harvested and resuspended in adhesion buffer containing 0.5 mM Mn²⁺, which had been found to promote

maximal cell adhesion to CST-OPN. A concentration range of *21-GST-OPN was added to the 293 cells or the ho_c -transfected 293 cells (1 imes 10° cells/ml) in suspension and the mixture was then incubated for 70 min cells mu in auspersion and the incubation period, quadruplicate samples of at 14 °C. At the end of the incubation period, quadruplicate samples of cells (30 μ I) were carefully layered onto 20% success cushions (280 μ I) In microcentrifuge tubes (West Coast Scientific Inc., Hayward, CA). The in manufacture codes (view codes) codes (codes) when and the cell pollet in the tip of the tube was amputated and counted in a y-counter. Nonapecific binding was measured in the presence of 20 mm EDTA and was subtracted from total binding to yield specific binding. All measurements were repeated at least three times yielding identical results.

Bound protein was calculated from the specific activity of the labeled ligand and the results are presented as malecules bound per cell. [GST-OPN], scatchard plats were derived by platting #[GST-OPN], against 7, where 7 represents [GST-OPN] butting #[GST-OPN] is binding affinity (K.) of cell surface integrals for GST-OPN is derived from the slope of this plot. In cases where blocking antibodies were present, preinculation with the antibodies at 14 °C for 15 min was carried out prior to adding 1251-GST-OPN. In cases where binding was stimulated with 8A2, the antibody was added simultaneously with the labeled ligand.

The ability of purified integrin α,β, to bind GST-OPN was also measured using a solid phase binding assay previously described (19). Purified α_sβ_s was immebilized on 96-well Titortek microtiter plates at a coating concentration of 50 ng/well. After incubation overnight at 4 °C, nonspecific protein binding sites on the plate were blocked with 30 mg/mi bovine serum albumin and 1 mm of the desired divalent cation(s) in TBS (pH 7.4). Radiolabeled GST-OPN in either 2 mm Ca2+ or 0.2 mm Mn3+ was then added to the plate. In control wells, nonspecific binding was measured in the presence of a competing RGD peptide. Nonspecific binding was subtracted from the total binding to yield specific binding. Each data point is a result of the average of triplicate wells.

Generating Cell Lines to Study the Binding between OPN and α_v -Integrins—To study the binding of $\alpha_v\beta_1$ and $\alpha_v\beta_5$ to OPN we chose the kidney 293 cells because they lack the $\alpha_0\beta_3$ integrin. These cells do express endogenous α, β_1 (21). Thus, the wildtype 293 cells serve as a model for measuring OPN binding to α, β_1 . To generate a cell line with which we could measure the interaction of a ps with OPN, the 293 cells were transferred with the cDNA for β_5 . The integrin profile of the wild-type and $\beta_{\rm s}$ -transfected 293 cells was compared by flow cytometry (Fig. 1). These studies confirm that the wild-type 293 cells fail to express $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ (panel A) or $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ (panel B). The cells express both the α_n and β_1 subunits (panels C and D). Our immunoprecipitations are consistent with prior studies (21, 22) which indicate that $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ is the predominant β_{1} containing integrin on these cells (data not shown). Following transfection with the β_5 cDNA the 293 cells also express the $\alpha_{\rm c}\beta_{\rm S}$ heterodimer on the cell surface (panel E). The cells transfected with the cDNA for β_5 display a 10-fold greater binding of anti- β_5 antibody than the vector transfected or wild-type 293 cells. The expression of 26234

ه الدينة

Osteopontin Binds to Integrins a, B, and a, B,




Fig. 2. Wild-type 223 cells and β₃-transfected 203 cells adhere to OFN. The adhesion of wild-type (open burs) and β₄-transfected (dark burs) 233 cells to GST-OPN was challenged with a series of blocking manacional antibodies. Cell adhesion to GST-OPN was performed in the presence of LM609 (anti-a,β₃). PIRG (anti-a,β₄). PACIO (anti-β₃), the disture of PIFG and PACIO, L230 (anti-a₃), and RGD peptids as a control inhibitor. The results are expressed as a percentage of control adhesion in the presence of mouse IgC (control). The data are the mean of triplicate wells. Errar bars denote the standard deviation. This experiment was performed four times yielding identical results.

 $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ on 293 cells was also confirmed by immunoprecipitation and Western blotting using antibodies specific for $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ (data not shown).

Integrins $\alpha_s \beta_1$ and $\alpha_s \beta_5$ are Receptors for OPN—To determine whether $\alpha_s \beta_1$ and $\alpha_s \beta_5$ could mediate cell adhesion to GST-OPN, the wild-type and β_5 -transfected 293 cells were allowed to adhere to immobilized GST-OPN. Both cell lines adhere to GST-OPN (Fig. 2). The adhesion was blocked by RCD appetide and by P4C10, an antibody against the β_1 subunit. The adhesion of these cells was also inhibited by L230, an antibody that blocks function of α_s . The antibody against $\alpha_s \beta_3$. IM609, had no effect. Based on these data, and immunoprecipitation experiments showing that the majority of β_1 in these cells is complexed with α_s (data not shown), we conclude $\alpha_s \beta_1$ is a receptor for OPN,

The adhesion of β_0 -transfected 293 cells was also blocked by the antibody against the α_s subunit (1.230). Approximately 70% of the adhesion of the β_0 -transfected cells could be blocked by P1F6, an antibody that interferes with ligand binding to $\alpha_s\beta_0$. The remainder of the adhesion (30%) could be blocked by antibody against the β_1 subunit, indicating that the endogenous $\alpha_s\beta_1$ contributes to the adhesion of these cells to OPN. These experiments show that $\alpha_s\beta_0$ can also mediate cell adhesion to OPN.

The Cation Dependence of Adhesion to OPN Is Distinct from the Cation Dependence for Vitronectin-Ca2+ does not support the binding of OPN to integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ (12). To determine if Ca^{2+} is similarly ineffective in supporting GST-OPN binding to $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{\nu}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$, we tested the ability of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Mn^{2+} support the adhesion of wild-type and β_3 -transfected 293 cells to GST-OPN (Fig. 3, panels A and B). For comparison, the ability of each ion to support the adhesion of each cell line to vitronectin is also shown (panels C and D). In this study, the amount of coated protein was varied across a concentration range. Each ion was used at a concentration found to support maximal adhesion (not shown). Ca2+ did not support adhesion of either cell line to GST-OPN. However, Ca2+ did enable maximal cell adhesion to vitronectin. Mn2+ was most effective in supporting the adhesion of $\alpha_\nu\beta_1$ and $\alpha_\nu\beta_5$ expressing cells to GST-OPN. Mg2+, which is likely to be the physiologically relevant ion, also supported adhesion. Despite slight differences in the rank order potency of divalent ions in supporting adhesion to vitronectin, all three ions did support maximal adhesion to this protein. Physiologic levels of Ca2+ supported adhesion to




Fig. 3. A comparison of the effects of divalent long on cell adhesion to osteopentin and vitromertin. The adhesion of kidney 283 cells expressing either integrin a.g., (aznets A and C) or integrin a.g., (panels A and E) or vitronectin (panels B and E) to either GST-OPN (panels A and B) or vitronectin (panels C and E) was rested in buffer contining Co¹⁺ (an), Mg²⁺ (b) or Mn¹⁺ (b). The adhesion of the B₂-transfected colls was measured in the presence of antibody P4C10 to eliminate any contribution of endogenous a.g., to cell adhesion. Adhesion assays were conducted as described under 'Exparimental Proceduras.' Each data point is the average of quadruplicate measurements. This experiment was performed four times yielding identical results. Additionally, in separate experiments, identical results were obtained when uropontin was used as immobilized ligand.


vitronectin but not to GST-OPN. We conclude that there is a fundamental difference in the cation requirement of integrin binding to OPN as opposed to vitronectin.

Measuring the Affinity of GST-OPN for $\alpha_v \beta_1$ and $\alpha_v \beta_5$ —The OPN receptor that has received the most attention is integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{s}.$ We recently measured the affinity between GST-OPN and purified integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{0}$ and found the apparent K_{ν} to be between 5 and 30 nm (12). Recent binding studies between GST-OPN and and on the surface of M21 melanoma cells has yielded a similar affinity (data not shown). To gauge the significance of the binding of OPN to $\alpha_v \beta_1$ or $\alpha_v \beta_5$, it is important to compare the binding affinities between OPN and each of these integrins. To measure the affinity of OPN for and and $\alpha_v \beta_s$, we performed binding assays with soluble ¹²⁵I-GST-OPN. These blinding studies were performed by harvesting the wildtype 293 cells or the β_5 -transfected 293 cells from tissue culture flasks and placing the cells in suspension. Binding studies were done in Mn2+ to obtain the highest affinity between GST-OPN and the two integrins. In the case of the β_5 -transfected cells, we found that a small component (typically 10-20% of total binding) of GST-OPN binding was mediated through endogenous $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$. To eliminate this component from the analysis, the binding studies with the θ_5 -transfected cells were performed in the presence of a saturating level of a function blocking antibody against the \$1 subunit. Initial control binding studies showed that the specific hinding of 126 I-GST-OPN to both wild-type and β₅-transfected 293 was inhibited completely by an RGD peptide and by blocking antibody against the α , subunit (data not shown). To measure the relative affinity of CST-OPN for integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$, binding isotherms were generated across a concentration range of 125 I-GST-OPN (Fig. 4). Scatchard analysis of the binding isotherms revealed that OPN has an affinity of 18 nm for $\alpha_v \beta_1$ (Fig. 4B) and 48 nm for $\alpha_v \beta_s$ (Fig. 4D). These affinity constants are similar to the apparent K_d (5-30 nm) we measured between GST-OPN and purified a, 8, (12). Consequently, the binding affinity between GST-OPN and all three a. integrins is similar.

Binding of CST-OPN to Purified Integrin a \$5- Integrin


Fig. 4. A measurement of the bind-ing affinity between GST-OPN and Ad, and ad, Isotherms of ¹⁸⁷-GST-OPN binding to wild-type 293 calls (4) and B₂-transfected 283 cells (0) main-tained in suspension were generated. Calls were harvested from tissus culture flasks as usual and were resuspended in adhesion buffer containing 0.5 mm Min** Mnt+ was chosen to measure the highest affinity between GST-OPN and the two integrins. 1231-GST-OPN of increasing concentration was added to the cells and the mixture was allowed to incubate with rocking for 70 min at 14 °C. Bound ligand was separated from free ligand by centrifugation through sucrose cushions (see "Experimental Procedures"). Each point is the average of triplicate data points and each isotherm is representative of at least three repetitions. The error bars show the standard deviation. To derive the affinity of the interaction between GST-OPN and integrin $\alpha_i\beta_i$ or integrin $\alpha_i\beta_0$ the data shown in panels A and C were replacted according to the method of Scatchard (53). This derivation yields Scatchard plots for GST-OPN binding to α, β_1 (2) and α, β_2 (4). The R² values for those lines are 0.87 and 0.00, respectively.

w. ..



 $\alpha_i\beta_\delta$ is abundant enough in placents to purify $\alpha_i\beta_\delta$ for direct binding studies (13). We measured the binding of $^{125}\text{I-GST}$ -OPN to purified a, \$\beta_s\$ using the same format that was previously used for $\alpha_0\beta_3$ (12). As shown in Fig. 5.4. Mn^{2+} is more effective than Ca^{2+} in promoting the binding of OPN to $\alpha_0\beta_3$. Although this assay format does not allow an exact derivation of K_d because the binding of ligand to integrin is irreversible in this assay format (11), we can assign an apparent K_n and compare this value to that obtained for a \$3. In Mn2+, the apparent K_d of GST-OPN for $\alpha_{\rm w}\beta_{\rm S}$ is 20 nm, which is comparable to the value of 5–30 nm for $\alpha_v \beta_3$ (12). Thus, the two purified integrins bind GST-OPN with nearly equal affinity. The purifled $\alpha_0 \beta_0$ is obtained from a placental lysate by first depleting the lysate of $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ by affinity chromatography. Therefore, we performed an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay on the purifled a \$65 to make sure that it contained no contaminating α , β_2 . This enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay was done with mAb 6B9 which is specific for aufis (18) and mAb LM609 which binds only to $\alpha_v\beta_3$. As shown in Fig. 5.8, the purified $\alpha_v\beta_3$ contains no detectable a, \$5 proving that OPN binds to purified

Adhesian to OPN through Integrin a, \$1 Can Be Stimulated by Activation of the B. Subunit with Manacional Antibody 8A2-It has been reported that many integrins can exist in multiple affinity states (16, 24-30). These observations indicate that there may be cellular pathways that control the affinity of an integrin for its ligand. Because our data shows that Ca2+ does not support adhesion to OPN, we wondered if other stimuli could override this phenomena. Since the physiologic stimuli that regulate integrin affinity have not been completely discerned, we made use of the monoclonal antibody 8A2. This antibody is a known agentst for β_1 integrins (15, 16) and it has been suggested that 8A2 mimics the physiologic activation of these integrins. We tested the ability of 8A2 to stimulate the adhesion of 293 cells to OPN. These studies were performed in buffer containing Ca2+. As shown in Fig. 6A, 293 cells adhered to GST-OPN in the presence of mAb 8A2 in buffer containing Ca2+. No adhesion to GST-OPN was observed in the presence of normal mouse IgG in the same buffer. To determine whether this stimulation was saturable and corresponded with the binding of 8A2 to $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$, the number of cell surface binding






Fig. 5. Integrin $\alpha_n\beta_2$ is also a receptor of estanpontin, A, the binding of CST-OPN to integrin $\alpha_n\beta_2$ was also determined by a solid phase binding assay. Thus study was done in buffer containing Mn2* (0.2 ma, Φ) or Ca2* (2 ma, Φ) as divasient cation. The binding assay was performed as described previously (19). The data are the average of triplicate points in which the error was less than 12% of the total binding. Nonspectific binding was less than 8% of the total binding as catermined by incubation with competing RCD peptide. Nonspectific binding is subtracted from the total binding. B, α ensure that no communicating $\alpha_n\beta_n$ as present in the $\alpha_n\beta_n$ preparation, an enzymetiaked immunosorbent assay was performed. The monoclonal antibody (69) (18) was used as a probe of integrin $\alpha_n\beta_n$ and antibody LM603 (99) was used to detect integrin $\alpha_n\beta_n$ and antibody LM603 (99) was used to detect integrin $\alpha_n\beta_n$.


sites for the antibody was measured. As shown in Fig. 6B the binding of ^{125}I -mAb 8A2 to 293 cells in suspension approaches saturation between 0.5 and 1 μ g/ml of antibody. This concentration corresponds closely with the amount of the antibody that maximally stimulates adhesion to OPN (Fig. 6A). From the Scatchard plot shown in Fig. 6C, the K_g of mAb 8A2 for $\alpha_s\beta_1$


هنده المد

DEC. 2.2004

3:25PM

Osteopontin Binds to Integrins a, B, and a, Bs









Fig. 6. Antibody 8A2 stimulates 293 cells adhesion to OPN in Ca^{3+} . A, the adhesion of wild-type 293 cells to GST-OPN was measured in the presence of a range of mab 8A2 (8) or normal mouse 1gG (). Cells were resuspended in adhesion buffer containing 2 mM Ca^{3+} . The cells (100 μ M at 1.5 × 10° cells/ml) were ollowed to adhere to GST-OPN at a casting concentration of 10 rm. The data are the mean of triplicate wells. Etta base the standard deviation. This experiments was performed three times yielding identical results. B the affinity and number of binding-sites on 203 cells for mAb BA2 was measured by generating a binding isothern with ratiotabeled 8A2. Nonspecific binding-sites on 203 cells for mab BA2 was measured by expected the standard plot of the standard plot was observable by compatible on with an excess of unlabeled 8A2 and was typically less than 10% of total binding. The specifically bound counts are shown. C these data were transformed into a Scatchard plot (53) to quantify the binding affinity and the number of binding sites.

on 293 cells is 1.4 nm and the number of cell surface binding sites is 51,000. This value matches exactly the number of $\alpha_s \beta_s$ molecules on the cell surface as measured by binding of ¹²⁵I-GST-OPN (Fig. 4, A and B).

We also examined the ability of mAb 8A2 to stimulate cell adhesion across the range of coated GST-OPN (Fig. 7A). In the presence of mAb 8A2, the coating concentration of GST-OPN that support half-maximal cell adhesion is similar to that obtained in Mn2+ (Fig. 3A), indicating that both 8A2 and Mn2+ induce the high affinity state of $\alpha, \bar{\beta}_1$. To verify that mAb 8A2 stimulates adhesion to OPN by anhancing the affinity state of $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$, adhesion assays were done in the presence of mAb 8A2 and a series of antagonists, including RGD peptide, antibody P4C10 (anti- β_1), and mAb L230 (anti- α_r). The adhesion to GST-OPN that is induced by mAb 8A2 can be blocked by each of the above inhibitors (Fig. 7B). Neither random peptide nor mouse IgG affected cell adhesion. Several other control experiments were also performed. These studies showed that the Fab fragment of mAb 8A2 was as effective as the whole antibody and that mAb 8A2 did not induce the expression of more $\alpha_0\beta_1$ on the cell surface.

DISCUSSION ·

Many interactions between cells and the extracellular matrix depend on cellular recognition of the RGD motif within






Fig. 7. mAb 8A2 simulates adhesion to GST-OPN through integrin α , β ₁, A, the adhesion of wild-type 293 cells to GST-OPN was measured in the presence of a range of coated GST-OPN in the presence of 1 μ g/ml of either 8A2 (0) or normal mouse IgC (\blacksquare). Cells (100 μ l at 1.5 × 10° cells/ml) were resuspended in adhesion buffer containing 2 mM Ca** and were silowed to adhere to a range of GST-OPN for 45 min at 37 °C. The data are the mean of triplicate wells. Error burs denote the standard deviation, β ₁ to confirm that integrin α , β ₁ is mediating 8A2-stimulated adhesion to GST-OPN in Ga**, the adhesion was challenged by synthetic peptides and monoclamia landodies. These are: mAb 8A2 only (β ₁, 100 μ M GRCDSP (β ₃), (100 μ M SPDGRG (β ₁, 1500 dilution of anti- β ₁ acites P4C10 (β ₂, 20 μ /ml of anti- α ₂, mAb 1.230 (β ₃), and 20 μ /ml nanspecific mouse IgG (β ₁).

adhesive proteins. Small peptides with the RGD sequence will bind to several integrin adhesion receptors, but larger adhesive proteins display considerable integrin binding specificity. Therefore, an important issue with every RCD-containing adhosive protein is to identify its receptor(s). OPN, for instance. binds to integrin a, \$3, but not to the platelet integrin ans \$3, (12). However, it is now apparent that several integrins have ligand binding properties similar to $\alpha_*\beta_3$, these are the four other integrine containing the a, subunit, a, \$1, a, \$5, a, \$6, and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{z}$ (22). Like $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$, two of these integrins, $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$, bind to vitronactin. This functional similarity lead us to suspect that both of these integrins may also bind OPN. Since both $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and α,β₅ have been identified in cissues, like bone and the vasculature where OPN is involved in tissue remodeling (1, 2, 31). there is the potential for a physiologically relevant interaction between these integrins and OPN.

Ideally experiments designed to characterize the interactions between integrins and their ligands would provide a quantitative measure of these interactions so that a hierarchy of binding affinities is available. Here, the affinity between OPN and integrin $\alpha_i\beta_i$ and $\alpha_i\beta_i$ was determined by measuring the binding of $^{125}I\text{-CST-OPN}$ to these integrins present on the surface of kidney 293 cells. Scatchard analysis shows that in the highest affinity state, the K_d of GST-OPN is 18 nm for $\alpha_i\beta_i$ and 48 nm for $\alpha_i\beta_i$. We also measured the apparent affinity between GST-OPN and purified integrin $\alpha_i\beta_i$. It was impossible to determine a K_d using Scatchard analysis because GST-OPN binding to $\alpha_i\beta_i$ immobilized in microtiter wells was non-dissociable. This non-dissociable binding has been observed previously with integrin $\alpha_i\beta_i$ and its potential physiologic significance has been discussed (23). Despite this binding anom-

(1) -11 a.

aly, the apparent K_{σ} (20 nm) between GST-OPN and purified integrin $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ is comparable to the affinity between GST-OPN and purified $\alpha_s \beta_3$ measured in the same assay under the same conditions (12). In addition, several cell adhesion experiments showed that the coating concentration of CST-OPN necessary for half-maximal cell adhesion through $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1},\,\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ (Fig. 3, A and B), and $\alpha_{n}\beta_{3}$ (12) was identical. Collectively, our data suggest there is no substantial preference in the binding of OPN to any of these a, integrins. It is important to reiterate that OPN does not bind to all integrins. We recently measured the binding of OPN to the platelet integrin $\alpha_{\rm Ho}\beta_3$ and showed that these two proteins do not interact (12).

The binding of OPN to its different a integrin receptors is also similar with respect to divalent ion requirement. We previously found that both Mg^{2+} and Mn^{2+} support OPN binding to integrin α, β_3 , but that Ca^{2+} suppresses this interaction (12). Here, we show that Ca2+ also fails to support the binding of OPN to integrins $\alpha_s \beta_1$ and $\alpha_s \beta_5$. This observation is important because it illustrates a key difference between the binding of OPN and vitronectin to apintegrins. Although small differences exist in the rank-order potency of divalent ions in supporting adhesion to vitronectin, physiologic levels of Ca2+ supported maximal cell adhesion to this protein through a.g. and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{5}$. This is in contrast to the adhesion to OPN which is not supported at any level by Ca2+. In this regard it is worth noting an important biochemical distinction between vitronectin and OPN. The vitronectin used in these studies is a multimer, often containing between 12 and 15 vitronectin moieties per multimer (32, 33). There is substantial evidence that the multimeric vitronectin is also present in extracellular matrices in vivo (32-34). In contrast, the OPN used in these studies was proven to be monomeric by mass spectral analysis (12) and gel filtration chromatography (data not shown). The soluble OPN found in body fluids is also assumed to be a monomer. Consequently, it is possible that multimeric vitronectin engages several integrins simultaneously, thereby overriding an otherwise lower affinity between vitronectin and α_v -integrins in calcium ion.

While Ca^{2+} does not support OPN binding to integrins $\alpha_s\beta_1$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{s_{\nu}}$ Mn^{2+} is able to enhance the binding. This result is not unexpected because Mn2+ is known to activate ligand binding functions of many integrins (22, 35-38). The physiologic activation of integrins can also be mimicked by monoclonal antibodies (16, 39-41). For example, several studies have demonstrated that integrins can be subject to physiologic activation. The best example is the platelet fibrinogen receptor integrin $\alpha_{\rm III} \beta_2$, which exists in a dormant state on resting platelets. This integrin responds to platelet activation by increasing its affinity for soluble fibrinogen (42). This increased binding affinity enables platelet aggregation at the site of a wound. Our data Indicare that the binding of GST-OPN to integrin a, B, can be enhanced by both Mn2+ and the mAb 8A2, which is known to be an agonist of other β_1 -integrins. Although several other integrins are known to have agonists other than divalent ions (16), to our knowledge, this is the first demonstration that the affinity of an α,-integrin can be modulated by an agonist besides Mn2+. By analogy with other integrins that are similarly stimulated, it is possible that this artificial stimulus indicates the potential for enhancing the affinity state of the integrin by physiologic means. It is important to emphasize that even when Ca2+ is present, the mAb 8A2 was able to enhance cell adhesion to OPN to maximal levels. Thus, the suppressive affects of Ca2+ can be overridden by other stimuli. In future studies, it will be important to determine if $\alpha_s \beta_3$ and $\alpha_s \beta_5$ can be similarly stimulated to bind OPN when Ca^{2+} is present and to determine if there are cellular signals that can promote adhesion to OPN in Ca2+.

The binding of OPN to $a_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ and $a_{\nu}\beta_{5}$ may be important to bone homeostasis. OPN is thought to be one of the most important matrix proteins for esteoclast adhesion (2, 4). In addition, soluble OPN stimulates intracellular signaling in osteoclasts, including Ca2+ fluxes and the phosphorylation of intracellular proteins (43). It has been reported that integrin α, β_1 is present on human estecciasts (44 – 47) and that integrin $\alpha_* \beta_5$ is present on chicken osteoclast precursors (48, 49). Therefore both of these integrins are positioned to mediate interactions between OPN and cells in bone. Our finding that integrins $a_*\beta_1$ and $a_*\beta_2$ have high affinity for OPN indicates that interactions between OPN and these receptors may play an essential role in bone remodeling. Blocking the activity of $\alpha_v \beta_2$ with antibodies inhibits bone resorption, but no analogous study has been done with antagonists of other a-integrins. Our data suggest that similar experiments should be done with antagonists of β_1 and β_5 .

Recent study also indicates that OPN is involved in vascular injury and repair (6, 31). One of the initial responses to vascular injury is the formation of a neologima which precedes the formation of atherosclerotic lesions (50). Giachelli et al. (51) recently showed that OPN expression is increased substantially in both rat and human smooth muscle cells surrounding a vessel that has been exposed to a catheter-induced injury. Because of the temporal regulation of OPN synthesis following this insult, the hypothesis was put forth that the OPN expressed by smooth muscle cells may be an important modulator of cell migration and proliferation associated with negintima formation (7, 52). The same group showed that, integrin $a_{\nu}\beta_{3}$ mediates only a portion of smooth muscle cell or to OPN; a major component of this adhesion was not blocked by antagonists specific for $\alpha_v \beta_0$ (7). The data presented in this report indicate that integrins $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{\delta}$ and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$ should be considered as candidate OPN receptors involved in guiding vascular repair.

The kinetic data in this report provide information essential to an understanding of the biology of OPN. Many adhesive and signaling events are tied to cellular exposure to OPN. In large part, it had been assumed that these events are mediated by integrin a, \$3 because it was the only known OPN receptor. In conjunction with our prior study (12), the data in this report show that $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$, $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{1}$, and $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{6}$ have similar affinities for OPN and that the ion regulation of OPN binding to each integrin is nearly identical. Therefore, along with $\alpha_s \beta_s$, $\alpha_s \beta_1$ and $\alpha_s \beta_s$ must now be considered receptors for OPN.

REFERENCES

NEFERENCES

Butler, W. T. (1989) Connect. Tituse, Fez. 22, 123–135

Derhardt, D. T., and Guo, X. (1983) FASEE J. 7, 1475-1483

Shiraga, H. Min, W., VanDuten, W. J., Clayman, M. D., Miner, D., Tarvell, C. H., Shurbotte, J. R., Foreman, J. W., Przyneckd, C., Nellson, E. G., and Hoyer, J. R. (1992) Free Matl Acrol. Sci. U. S. A. 80, 428–430

A. Miyasahi, A., Alvarez, J., Greenfield, E. M., Tott, A., Grano, M., Colucel, S., Zansbouri-Zaliona, A., Ross, F. P., Telablaum, S. L., Cheresh, D., and Hrusks, K. A. (1991) J. Biol. Chen. 288, 20369–20374

S. Horton, M. A., and Davies, J. (1880) J. Bono Miner, E. e., 803–819

G. Ross, F. P., Chappel, J., Alvarez, J. I., Sander, D., Butler, W. T., Farach-Castson, M. C., Mintz, K. A., Rahey, P. G., Teltelbaum, S. L., and Cheresh, D. A. (1993) J. Biol. Chon. 288, 8901–8907

Liuw, L., Ahmelda, M., Hart, C. E., Schwarz, S. M., and Ginchelli, C. M. (1994)

Circ. Res. 74, 214–224

Yeung, M. F., Kerr, J. M., Termina, J. D., Wewer, U. M., Wang, M. G., McBride,

Young, M. F., Korr, J. M., Tormana, J. D., Wewer, U. M., Wang, M. G., McBride,
 Young, M. F., Korr, J. M., Tormana, J. D., Wewer, U. M., Wang, M. G., McBride,
 Smith, J. W., and Prisher, L. W. (1939) Genomics 1, 491-502
 Smith, J. W., and Chrisher, D. A. (1938) J. Zhid. Chem. 263, 18726-1873;
 Albelda, S. M., and Buck, C. A. (1930) PASEB J. 4, 2288-2289;
 Albelda, S. M., and Buck, C. A. (1930) A. (1930) J. Ell. Phys. Rev. D (1930) A. (1930) Phys. Rev. D (1930) Phys. D (1930) Phy

Hydr. J. D., Hydr. J. R., and Smith, J. W. (1995) J. Biol. Chem. 270, 9917–9925
 Smith, J. W., Verral, D. J., Irwin, S. V., Burka, T. A., and Cheresh, D. A. (1890)
 J. Biol. Chem. 265, 12008–11013

Yamhgo, T., Izumi, M., Kashiwagi, H., and Hayashi, M. (1988) Cell Struct. Funct. 13, 281–292

Funct, 13, 281–282 15. Wayner, E. A., and Kavach, N. L. (1992) *J. Cell Biot.* 116, 489–497 16. Kovach, N. L., Carlos, T. M., Yee, E., and Hartan, J. M. (1992) *J. Cell Biot.* 116, 499-509

Weinacker, A., Chen, A., Agrez, M., Cone, R. L., Nishimure, S., Wayner, E., Pytcia, R., and Sheppard, D. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269, 6840–6648
 Stulver, I. S., and Smith, J. W. (1995) [hpridoma, in press
 Smith, J. W., Plotrovicz, R. S., and Mathia, D. M. (1994) J. Biol. Chem. 269,

. 26238

DEC. 2. 2004 3:26PM

Osteopontin Binds to Integrins a, \$1 and a, \$5

- 960-867 Praimer, C. A., Plotkin, J., Jays. D. and Praxier, W. A. (1991) J. Cell Blot. 112, 1031–1040
- 1031-1040
 1. Bodary, S. C., and McLeafi, J. W. (1990) J. Bial. Chem. 285, 5038-5941
 22. Butk, M., Pyeals, R., and Sheppard, D. (1903) J. Bial. Chem. 267, 5750-5769
 13. Orianos, R. A., and Cherch, D. A. (1991) J. Bial. Chem. 256, 19543-19550
 24. Coller, B. S. (1985) J. Clin. Invest. 78, 101
 25. Shindra, S., van Seventer, G. A., Morgan, K. J., and Shaw. S. (1990) Natury 345, 250-253
- Wilkins, J. A., Stuppeck, D., Szewart, S., and Cateta, S. (1991) Eur. J. Immunol. 21, 517–522.
- 27. Saudck, V., Arkinson, R. A., and Polteo, J. T. (1991) Biochemistry 30, 7329-7372
- 789-7372
 28. Masumeta A. and Hemler, M. E. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 288, 123-234
 29. Phillips, D. R., Charr, L. F. and Scarberauge, R. M. (1091) Cell 65, 353-362
 30. Freilinger, A. L., III. Du, X. Plow, E. F., and Ginsbarg, M. H. (1991) J. Biol.
 Chim. 260, 17105-1711
 31. Giartalli, C., Bro, N., Lombardi, D., Majesky, M., and Schwartz, S. (1991)
 Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 177, 557-573
 32. Pressner, K. T., and Jenne, D. (1991) Thromb, Historicals 68, 123-132
 33. Bitzerf, S. V., Williams, B. C., and Macher, D. P. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 283, 24373-24466
 34. Tomashi, J. R. h. and Moches: D. P. (1981) Prop. Hemstech Thromb. 10.

- Tonastri, B. R. and Mosher, D. F. (1991) Prog. Hemostasto Thromb. 10, 259-305
 Altiert, D. C. (1991) J. framenol. 147, 1891-1893
 Dransfield L., Cahanes, C., Craig, A., and Hogg, N. (1992) J. Call Biol. 116, 2310-232.
- 219-226
- 37. Edwards, J. G., Hamess, H., and Campbell, G. (1888) J. Coll Blot. 89, 507 38. Cox, D., Aokt, T., Seki, J., Matoyama, Y., and Yashida, K. (1990) Med. Rex. Rex.

- 14, 105-228

 39. Bednaresk, J. L., and McIntyre, B. W. (1982) J. Call Biol. 116, 499-509

 40. Campaners, M. R., Pulinto, R., Urra, M. A., Rodriguez-Moya, M., de Landazuri, M. O., end Sanchar-Madrid, P. (1983) J. Cell Biol. 110, 2157-2163

 41. Neugetsuer, K. M., and Reichardt, L. F. (1991) Nature 330, 63-71

 42. O'Tooje, T. E., Lahus, J. C., Du, X., Class, A. A., Buggeri, Z. M., Sharill, S. J., Plow, E. F., and Girsberg, M. H. (1990) Cell Regul. 1, 833-869

 43. Zhrob, Z., Wesalowski, C., Tranka, H., Hyman, J. L., Hyor, J. R., end Rodan, G. A. (1994) Am. J. Physics. 265, C378-C381

 Neshitz, S., Neshitz, A., Hellrich, M., and Horton, M. (1983) J. Biol. Chem. 268, 16737-16745

- 16737-16745

 4. Clover, J., Dadds, R. A., and Gowen, M. (1992) J. Cell Sci. 103, 257-271

 4. Selia, T., Albelds, S. M., and Brighton, C. T. (1994) J. Ortho Res. 12, 284-394

 47. Hughes, D. E., Salter, D. M., Dedlier, S., and Simpson, R. (1993) J. Bane Miner, Res. 8, 527-633

 48. Helly, S., Sago, K., Martin, J., Chappel, J., Teitolbaum, S. L., Cao, X., and Ross, F. P. (1993) J. Bane Miner, Res. 8, S135

 49. Sago, K., Ross, F. P., Martin, J. L. J. C. F., Chappel, J., Mimura, H., Rekhardt, I., F., Venstrom, K., Teitalbaum, S. L., and Cao, X. (1993) J. Bane Miner, Res. 8, S121

 50. Reldy, M. A., Etpacefel, J. and Mainer, A. M. (1993)
- Reidy, M. A., Fingeric, J., and Majesky, M. W. (1986) in hyperlipidocenie and Atheroscherosis (Suchling, R. E., and Groot, P. H. E., edd) pp. 149-164,
- Athernocleonais (Suckling, R. E., and Groot, P. H. E., etc), pp. 149–164, Academic Press, Lenders, M., Denharck, D. T., Alpera, C. E., and Schutter, S. M. (1993) J. Cits. Invest. 92, 1696–1689

 52. Yue, T. L., McKenna, P. J., Obistelin, B. H., Farach-Carson, M. C., Butler, W. T., Johrston, K. McDevitt, P. Feuerstein, G. S., and Stackel, J. M. (1994)

 23. Scattland, C. (1999) Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 51, 650–672